

Digitalizing experiential celebrations in the early modern civic space: A methodological investigation of augmented reality as an interpretative tool

Giovanna Guidicini

Mackintosh School of Architecture, The Glasgow School of Art,
G3 6RQ Glasgow, UK

Abstract

This article investigates the methodological suitability of Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR and AR) to the recreation of early modern civic spectacles. These are presented as instances of controlled engagement of a (royal) user with a civic space temporarily ‘augmented’ by the engaging superimposition of structures, performances, and haptic experiences – hence fulfilling Ronald Azuma’s definition of AR. The writings of theorists of space and Cross Reality innovators provide the basis for a comparative discussion of practical applications of VR and AR in history-related fields (archaeology, history, education, and gaming and entertainment). For their methodological similarities to civic festivals in addressing the complexity of human experiences in augmented spaces, AR-based virtual reconstructions are demonstrated to be cognate and ductile investigative tools.

Correspondence:

Mackintosh School of
Architecture, The Glasgow
School of Art, 167 Renfrew
Street, G3 6RQ Glasgow, UK.

E-mail:

g.guidicini@gsa.ac.uk

1 Introduction: Representing and Understanding Geometrical and Human Space

The potential of space to be represented and understood through the lens of human re-interpretation has attracted much experimentation over the centuries. During the medieval period, scholars and artists superimposed God’s design upon the existing world, to help human minds make sense of the natural in the light of the supernatural and vice-versa, and represent it

visually to an often illiterate audience. During the early modern period, the discovery of perspective offered naturalists, alchemists, and collectors the opportunity to represent space and matter as controllable quantities, regulated by the newly discovered forces of physics and empirical sciences. More recently, new technologies, such as 3D point cloud, Virtual Reality (VR), and Augmented Reality (AR), and more generally cross reality (XR),¹ have allowed space to be captured and then faithfully replicated, but also reinvented and transfigured, opening up new fields of research for specialists but also encouraging the public’s explorations. These

possibilities come with their own set of theoretical issues and opportunities: when confronting established understandings of the relationship between humans and space, different approaches ‘can leverage traditional assumptions so they become visible and hence available for rethinking and reconceptualization’ (Hayles, 2012, pp. 23–4).

In particular, the field of spatial history is currently trying to make use—but also make sense—of the ‘here-and-now’ approach of databases and data-based geospatial digital technologies. A discursive approach to space as diverse is now prevalent, one that addresses it as—in the words of geographer Doreen Massey—‘lively’ and challenging to tame, being constantly remodelled by human interactions (Massey, 2005, p. 14; Hayles, 2012, pp. 183–84). Massey argues that it is a regrettable characteristic of modernity to frame human history as merely spatial while downplaying the temporal element—and of spatiality to define the production of knowledge by binding places to what was perceived as ‘their own internally generated authenticities’ (Massey, 2005, p. 64). Historians’ willingness to explore the potential of geospatial digital technologies in performing more time-sensitive spatial mapping, could then help redress this trend. Such studies of social interactions in spaces over time are particularly suited to the investigation of the early modern civic communities of Western Europe as places of dynamic instability and creativity, where multiplicities of views coexisted, as hubs of both new ideas and local folklore, and as places of transient involvement and criss-crossing of porous boundaries. This approach would help reframe current cries for supposedly lost ‘spatial coherences’ as nostalgic responses to globalization (Massey, 2005, p. 65).

Early modern civic communities experimented with acknowledging and expressing their own societal and spatial complexity, and the coexistence of chronologically and spatially contiguous disjointed identities, through shared ‘databases’ of gestures, customs, and visual tools that were both site-specific and pan-European in defining the ‘civic’ experience as such (Muir, 2005, pp. 255–56). In particular, civic ceremonies such as processions, festivals, and triumphal welcomes organized by the urban community to honour visiting rulers, were devised as experiences of collective and interactive ritual display. They routinely gave access and tangible form to such complexity, through experiential re-enactments of

the renegotiation and reaffirmation of societal beliefs. This article discusses these early modern civic recreations as precursors of modern, virtual investigations of spaces through the computer-based mapping and reconstruction of spatial experiences, where a complex, layered physical reality is intentionally manipulated and enhanced to respond to the user’s perceived needs—of entertainment, education, introspection, and studious observation. This article, then, investigates the methodological suitability of technologies based on VR, AR, and XR as cognate and sympathetic—but as this research will reveal, at the same time problematic and even limited—investigative tools for critical representation. In particular, it challenges any expectation of rigour and authenticity as intrinsically embedded in technologically mediated experiences, addressing their curated, non-neutral nature. Consequently, the comparison herein provides useful new pointers to both historians and designers, striving to understand the links and overlaps between the potential and limitations of the representative medium, and the complexity of the built and social environment being represented.

2 Materials and Methods of Spatial Representations: The Present and Past of AR

Early modern civic ceremonies gave visible, tactile form to the multiple identities of a civic community, grounding its inhabitants’ sense of self—as politically active burghesses, devout believers, economically invested guild members, trustworthy subjects, battle-ready activists, and more—through choreographed experiences of enhanced physical reality. They promoted the construction of temporary spatial superstructures (decorations, stages, canopies, and tribunes). They conjured up the appearance of illusionary or supernatural characters and objects (costumed performers, holy relics, giants, and angels), and of miraculous events (the sudden manifestation of provisions or heavenly music) to make local lore come alive. Also, they enhanced the legibility of an urban space shaped by dynamic practices connecting physical buildings and locations, with social structures (Bennett and Polito, 2014, pp. 2–3). The case studies referred to for comparison mostly come from the

1558, used the principles of optics to create perfect representations of the real world, but only as emphatically disembodied, metaphysical visual experiences. Triumphant entries—roughly contemporaries of *camera obscura*—also explored mankind’s semi-divine powers to understand and create a space that was both realistic-looking and transcendent, attempting to make invisible concepts such as loyalty, authority, or hope visible for personal reflection and shared discussion. By adding layers to the civic backdrop—of decoration, of experience, of sensory stimulations—triumphant entries were not unlike the mid-17th century experience of the Magic Lantern, a device projecting shadows and images onto screens, curtains, and smoke, revealing ghostly figures to an audience eager to access invisible celestial planes. In relying on but at the same time directing and regulating the monarch/user’s movement in space and physical engagement, triumphant entries also had points in common with late-18th-century Panoramas. These were 360° life-size indoor painted landscapes simulating the real world, encouraging a controlled degree of visual exploration and promenading within the bounded perimeter of the viewing platform. Later on, Charles Wheatstone’s stereoscopic displays (from the 1830s) offered the illusion of physical immersion into a virtual space, thanks to a new understanding of the laws of physics and optics, and the potential of multiple viewpoints offered by a hand-held device. The resulting immersive experiences were based, like triumphal celebrations, on experimentations with the laws of perspective, physics, and optics as they were known at the time, and implied the user’s willingness to suspend disbelief and abandon their senses to a newly created (if often mostly visual) reality (Hillis, 1999, pp. 41–49).

It seems apparent that triumphal entries need not envy these better-known, device-based early examples of AR experiences. On the contrary, triumphal entries were more markedly multisensory, consistently took place in real time and space, and created a complex three-dimensional reality loaded with subtle significances, and representing experiential portals to explore a physical world’s enhanced meanings. Their lasting popularity across Europe between the 15th and the 17th century demonstrates their success and applicability, employing the transformative potential of a shared spatial experience to open a channel of

communication between the local community and a monarch too often perceived as distant. The similarities between these complex, layered ceremonies with the world of AR as presented by Azuma, offer useful methodological pointers in the selection of sympathetic, suitable techniques of representation and investigation, within the numerous technological options available.

3 Results and Discussions on Current Experimentations

Virtual Heritage Visualizations (VHVs) have become established practices in the field of cultural heritage, developing from the early 1990s to include laser scanning, digital recording, and virtual tours, exhibitions, and reconstructions. The London Charter for the Computer-based Visualization of Cultural Heritage (2009) is a landmark document underlining how visualizations may be critically selected as the most appropriate method for research, study, dissemination of knowledge, and public engagement. It also discusses the importance of the pursuit of authenticity, intellectual and technical rigour, and transparency—all elements highly relevant to current discussions of suitable means of representing the early modern civic world.²

For example, taking the digital visualization of the city of Edinburgh as in 1544, realized by the University of St Andrews in 2017, the layout and appearance of the disappeared spaces have been brought back to life with sensitivity, and an eye to accessibility and public engagement through the associated website.³ The visualization, offering a smooth bird’s eye view of evocative, if somewhat eerily empty, spaces to the tunes of soave music, concentrated on the architectural, geometrical, and spatial element of the burgh, deciding not to engage with the experiential aspects of human interaction and inhabitation. Perhaps, by necessity, given the constraints of the medium of film, the user is presented with the oven-ready, final results of a creative investigation by researchers that happened previously and behind the scenes. The act of mapping, measuring, and representing a space for the consumption of others—philosopher Michel de Certeau argues—forms ‘tables of legible results’,

the world through augmented experiences reinforced by appropriate spatial significance—for example, of refugees or war victims—can promote awareness of alternative points of view, and encourage the user to take action to cause positive change in the real world (Papagiannis, 2017, pp. 38–41). As comparable narrated augmented experiences, triumphal entries also presented a monarch often perceived as culturally, geographically, religiously, or politically remote, with a selection of viewpoints and concerns representing the interests of the local community, the region, or the nation. This opened a backchannel of communication separate from more prescribed, regular associations, and a window for the monarch into the inner workings of the hosting city. In 1513, Ferdinand of Aragon's trusted advisors worked closely and at length with Valladolid's civic and religious authorities, appointed local artists, and scholars, to fund, devise, actually make happen, and then memorialize via printed publications the celebrations for the king's arrival (Knighton and Morte García, 1999, pp. 140–43). Frequently, these events were focused on presenting issues, proposing solutions, and alluding at advantageous *quid pro quos*, alerting the monarch to what intervention was ideally expected of them. For example, the entry for Cardinal Archduke Ferdinand staged in Antwerp in 1635 denounced the damage years of bad politics had done to the city, with two final arches showing the poverty of the city and even hinting at the Spanish responsibilities for the situation, as well as respectful appeals to the Archduke for help (Strong, 1984, pp. 48–49). On a more intimate level, through their many references to the necessity of pursuing virtue, entries were educational experiences providing ambivalent rulers with a moral compass to direct their future actions. The monarch's experience of 'walking in the organizers' shoes' by experiencing an enhanced civic space during the processional event was meant as a transformative one, returning a transfixed, morally enriched ruler to the real world, eager to improve its many shortcomings by embracing their new role. In 1640, a sympathetic, persuaded Archduke Ferdinand obtained from his royal connections the authorization for Antwerp to trade in the East Indies, the very solution to the city's economic stagnation that had been presented to him

during his entry (Strong, 1984, pp. 49–50). The progress in space of the king's own 'avatar'—the carefully crafted public persona he 'wears' while inhabiting the augmented civic world—was frequently temporarily halted by interactions with physical objects, staged events, and attractive viewpoints, as opportunities for engagement and learning through both action and reflection. The monarchs engage with a series of revelations—applying here words originally referring to the context of game-playing—'that allows them to progress, to escape stasis, to reassert their dominance over their environment' (Gallagher, 2018, p. 142).

The construction of the early modern spatial narrative was not without unresolved issues, and considerations can once again be made by comparison with the world of modern AR. First, the civic AR was designed to embody the views and expectations of only a section of the population—influential, well-connected, affluent. There was the power to determine what sort of transformative experience the ruler will be subject to—hence, what sort of world the newly inspired ruler would be inclined to shape in the future. Individuals and corporations finding themselves without a voice—or a designated location to be seen or heard within the ceremonial narrative—looked for spatial alternatives, organizing *impromptu* meetings outside the tightly controlled boundaries of the civic space. In 1509, peasants gathered outside the civic gate of Valladolid to intercept King Ferdinand of Aragon and entertain him with spontaneous country dances and tambourine music, before the king proceeded to the more formal entertainments within walls (Knighton and Morte García, 1999, p. 154). In Edinburgh, during the 1617 entry, the newly founded University—lacking an assigned space on the traditional route—set up a performance for James VI/I in the courtyard of Holyrood Palace (Hardy, 1894, p. 20). Secondly, the monarch/user themselves might try to push the boundaries of the experience as designed for them to explore. Some rulers found themselves in disagreement at times with the principles and expectations embodied by the AR they were expected to inhabit, and refused to engage with it or attempted to force changes of their own making. Religious differences were a frequent cause of friction; the entry organized in Edinburgh for Catholic Mary

with permanent social consequences (McQuire, 2016, pp. 1–7). Augmented spatial ceremonies were three-dimensional attempts to understand, catalogue, and control, a world becoming geographically, politically, religiously, and scientifically more complex and less established (Guidicini, 2020, pp. 33–35). In the 21st century, the experience of game-playing in an AR world is again just that, ‘an algorithm of the player’s fantasies of mastering an unruly, recalcitrant material world using technologies that render it legible and tractable’ (Gallagher, 2018, p. 142), describing algorithm as the experience of interpreting a game’s algorithm in a way that ‘reflects a truth about the role of technology in “everyday life”’ (Gallagher, 2018, p. 142). Similarly, the creation of a prearranged civic experience was deceptively centred on a harmonious narrative leading towards a satisfying resolution, speaking of hoped-for agreement, communion, and mutual trust. Those who experienced it were presented with a much-appreciated respite from real-life uncertainties—a parallel to modern game-like AR experiences offering the illusion of spatial coherence, agency, and fulfilment. At the same time, the partisan and non-neutral views and experiences of organizers, users, performers, spectators, and chroniclers contributed to the creation of a collective, temporary, ideal world enriched, rather than threatened, by the potential for multiple interpretations.

4 Conclusions: A Reasoned Choice

AR-based reconstructions—particularly those including experiential, interactive, even game-like elements—represent methodologically suitable tools for academically sound reconstructions of lived-in civic environments and of the early modern civic celebrations taking place in them. Particularly in the case of triumphal entries, the spatial limitations and operational restrictions intrinsic in the representative medium, mimic and reflect the experience and challenges of devising, creating, and engaging with an enhanced civic space, making them sympathetic tools for investigation and representation of this kind of intangible, experience-based heritage, as well as public-friendly tools for dissemination.

Both experiences offered the user (an illusion of) free choice in responding to a preselected series of elements and locations, which will compose a meaningful narrative both educational and entertaining. In both the cases, physical progress and movement in space granted the user (the illusion of having) an active role in the mapping and construction of an individual, but often carefully choreographed, narrative. Both realities are in fact bound by disguised, but in truth rather fixed, spatial boundaries, which bolder rulers/players/users will strive to explore, challenge, and bend. Both present the challenge of incorporating the main user’s views with those of others who also claim—as fellow users, designers, scholars, observers—some role in the devising, mapping, construction, and ultimate enjoyment of the spatial reality. Finally, both circumstances offered a safe, sandbox-experience of engaging with the issues and controversies of an increasingly complex world, creating an opportunity for reflection and personal growth which would possibly go beyond the range of expected outcomes imagined by the designers. In fact, as mediated and declaredly politicized events encouraging individual and filtered responses by different participants, and aiming not at strict realism and veracity but rather thriving on the multiplicity of viewpoints and interpretations, triumphal entries can make the best of—rather than being weakened by—the non-neutrality of technologically mediated experiences.

References

- Allen, P. (2008). Framing, locality and the body in augmented public space. In Aurigi, A. and De Cindio, F. (eds), *Augmented Urban Spaces, Articulating the Physical and Electronic City*. Aldershot: Ashgate, pp. 27–40.
- Augé, M. (1995). *Non-Places: Introduction to an Anthropology of Supermodernity*. London: Verso.
- Azuma, R. (1997). A survey of augmented reality, Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments. *Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*, 6(4): 355–85.
- Azuma, R., Bailiot, Y., Behringer, R., Feiner, S., Julier, S., and MacIntyre B. (2001). Recent advances in augmented reality. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 21(6): 34–47.
- Bennett, S. and Polito M. (2014). Thinking site: an introduction. In Bennett, S. and Polito, M. (eds), *Performing Environments: Site-Specificity in Medieval and Early*

- Modern English Drama*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 1–13.
- Bergeron, D. M.** (1971). *English Civic Pageantry, 1558–1642*. London: Edward Arnold.
- Bryant, L.** (1986). *The King and the City in the Parisian Royal Entry Ceremony*. Genève: Librairie Droz.
- de Certeau, M.** (2005). Spatial stories. In Ballantyne, A. (ed.), *What is Architecture?* New York: Routledge, pp. 72–87.
- Deggim, S., Kersten, T. P., Tschirschwitz, F. and Hinrichsen, N.** (2017). Segeberg 1600 – reconstructing a historic town for virtual reality visualisation as an immersive experience. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 42–2(W8): 87–94.
- Dow, D. N.** (2013). Historical veneers; anachronism, simulation, and art history in Assassin’s Creed II. In Kapell, M. W. and Elliott, A. B. R. (eds), *Playing with the Past: Digital Games and the Simulation of History*. New York: Bloomsbury Academic, pp. 215–31.
- Dueholm, J. and Smed, K. M.** (2014). Heritage authenticities – a case study of authenticity perceptions at a Danish Heritage site. *Journal of Heritage Tourism*, 9(4): 285–98.
- Eisenbichler, K.** (1999). Charles V in Bologna: The self-fashioning of a man and a city. *Renaissance Studies*, 13(4): 430–39.
- Else, F. M.** (2018). Fountains of wine and water and the refashioning of urban space in the 1565 Entrata to Florence. In Mulryne, J. R., De Jonge, K., Martens, P., and Morris, R. L. M. (eds), *Architectures of Festivals in Early Modern Europe, Fashioning and Re-fashioning Urban and Courtly Space*. New York, NY: Routledge, pp. 73–98.
- Fernandez-Gonzalez, L.** (2016). Virtual Worlds: Visualizing Early Modern Festivals in the Iberian World. *Newsletter of the Association of Spanish and Portuguese Historical Studies*, 7: 6–13.
- Gallagher, R.** (2018). *Videogames, Identity and Digital Subjectivity*. New York and London: Routledge.
- Guidicini, G.** (2020). *Performing Spaces: Triumphal Entries and Festivals in Early Modern Scotland*. Turnhout, Belgium: Brepols Publisher.
- Hayles, N. K.** (2012). *How We Think, Digital Media and Contemporary Technogenesis*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Hardy W. J. (ed.) (1894). *The Manuscripts of Lord Kenyon, Historical Manuscripts Commission, Fourteenth Report, Appendix, Part IV*. London: HMSO Byre and Spottiswood.
- Hillis, K.** (1999). *Digital Sensations. Space, Identity, and Embodiment in Virtual Reality*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Kipling, G.** (1998). *Enter the King: Theatre, Liturgy, and Ritual in the Medieval Civic Triumph*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Knighton, T. and Morte García C.** (1999). Ferdinand of Aragon’s entry into Valladolid in 1513: the triumph of a Christian King. *Early Music History*, 18: 119–63.
- MacDonald, A. A.** (1997). The Triumph of Protestantism: The Burgh Council of Edinburgh and the Entry of Mary Queen of Scots, 2 September 1561. *The Innes Review*, 48(1): 73–82.
- Manovich, L.** (2006). The poetics of augmented space. *Visual Communication*, 5(2): 219–40.
- Massey, D.** (2005). *For Space*. London: Sage Publications.
- McQuire, S.** (2016). *Geomedia, Networked Cities and the Future of Public Space*. Cambridge, MA: Polity Press.
- Merleau-Ponty, M.** (1962). *Phenomenology of Perception*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul Ltd. (Smith C. (1945). *Phenomenologie de la Perception*. Paris: Gallimard).
- Muir, E.** (2005). *Ritual in Early Modern Europe*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Mulryne J. R., Aliverti M. I., and Testaverde A. M. (eds) (2013). *Ceremonial Entries in Early Modern Europe: The Iconography of Power*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Papagiannis, H.** (2017). *Augmented Human. How Technology is Shaping the New Reality*. Sebastopol, CA: O’Reilly Media.
- Parry, G.** (1985). *The Golden Age Restor’d, The Culture of the Stuart Court, 1603–1642*. Manchester, UK: Manchester University Press.
- Rodriguez, J.** (2014). “With the Grace of God at Th’entryng of the Brugge”: Crown Versus Town and the Giant of London Bridge in Lydgate’s Triumphal Entry of Henry VI. In Bennett, S. and Polito, M. (eds), *Performing Environments, Site-Specificity in Medieval and Early Modern English Drama*. London: Palgrave MacMillan, pp. 201–21.
- Ruiz, T. F.** (2012). *A King Travels, Festive Traditions in Medieval and Early Modern Spain*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Sanders D. H.** (2014). Virtual heritage: researching and visualizing the past in 3D. *Journal of Eastern Mediterranean Archaeology and Heritage Studies*, 2(1): 30–47.

- Strong, R.** (1984). *Art and Power, Renaissance Festivals 1450-1650*. Woodbridge, ON: The Boydell Press.
- Testaverde, A. M.** (1980). Feste Medicee: la Visita, le Nozze e il Trionfo. In Fagiolo Dall'Arco, M. (ed.), *La Citta' Effimera e l'Universo Artificiale del Giardino*. Roma: Officina Edizioni.
- Thomson, T. (ed.) (1833). *A Diurnal of Remarkable Occurrences that Have Passed within the Country of Scotland Since the Death of King James the Fourth till the Year M.D.LXXXV*. Edinburgh: Maitland Club.
- Wang, N.** (1999). Rethinking authenticity in tourism experiences. *Annals of Tourism Research*, **26**: 349–70.

Notes

- 1 The extended reality that combines augmented, virtual, and mixed reality experiences.
- 2 The London Charter at <http://www.londoncharter.org/index.html>.
- 3 Edinburgh 1544, Virtual Time Binoculars, in <https://www.smarthistory.co.uk/Edinburgh1544/>.
- 4 ART-ES. Appropriation and Hybridization between Visual Arts and Performing Arts in Early Modern period, <https://wip2.khm.at/>. Information about the project at <https://artes.hypotheses.org/>, and digital exhibition at <https://www.artes-exhibition.digital/opening/>.
- 5 Hidden Florence, in <https://hiddenflorence.org/>.