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Morning Discussion Notes

Engaging audiences with contemporary art:
What are the challenges?
Attendees were asked to consider the challenges in engaging audiences with contemporary art. **Top 3 challenges identified by each group are in pink.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Different institutional structure agendas</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not allowed to communicate with young people using the social networking sites they use – opening up restrictions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure of the development of ‘new audiences, new partners, new relationships’ through project by project funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time taken to agree nature of partnerships can lose momentum with kids that you want to work with</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council IT departments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of the art institution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to budget, resources – art and artists, places to visit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relational – how young people work vs professional - how we are required to work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people seeing the relevance of the work done to pull together and evidence what they need</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do we learn from each other in the field; arts and health, arts and education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength in building key relationships over time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relevance / elitist image / con trick theory (not cool)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical differences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability of smaller galleries to provide services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrictions in curriculum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of art provision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of breadth of curriculum – narrowness of art higher / advanced higher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making opportunities in galleries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wider social engagement in art</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of understanding of career options</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive towards ‘friendly work’ in local authority galleries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infrastructure development (contemporary art and the curriculum)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability £</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language – communication vs codes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beyond the core audience?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning teams not operating in isolation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Who are the champions?
- To many special needs to manage
- Co-ordination – marketing, curatorial, education, press
- Resistance to literal meaning

- Challenge of relationship between curatorial / education / engagement strategies, personnel, drivers
- Understanding what we MEAN by engagement
- Knowing your audiences (or not knowing them) assumptions
- Should there be legacy grants / second time around for participants
- What’s the future focus? How ambitious?
- Permanent / ring fenced person – (Whitworth has 12 staff in engagement)
- TIMING
  - Curriculum is a barrier
  - Shifting priority of funders / govt.
  - Understanding more about what we mean about engagement - peer reflection / statement
  - Relationship between curatorial / education / engagement
  - Knowing your audience / not knowing assumptions
  - Sustainability – self – sustaining groups, dissipation - is that realistic?
  - Tensions
  - Distinction between sustainability of provision / participation
  - Creation of framework – how you create conditions that allow self-creation or self-sustaining
  - Critical aspects – additional funding or platforms often project–based

- Programme content – how diverse is it / how relevant to audiences?
- Contemporary art IS about contemporary issues – why is that / it difficult?
- The verb ‘to engage’ – needs to be unpicked – who engages who?
- Leadership – organisations and for young people
- What are the frameworks to engage – are they flexible – what are they outside of education?
- Lack of advocacy / language about what contemporary practice is – less about the object – more about the conversations
- ‘Remoteness’ of those creating access to those they give access to

- Un-education / unlearning
- Systems, structures and assumed behaviours, narratives
- Rigid / fixed methods of ‘measuring’ / fluid ‘tracking
- How do you measure engagement?
- **Multi-level issues**
- Autonomy to do what they (YP) they wanted
- How education fits in?
- Problems vs solutions
- Less tables - meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How to make engagement part of <strong>everyone’s job</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term commitment needed – and how to continue after funding ends</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The gap between requirements and resources of Higher in curriculum and requirements for art college</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration between learning / education to whole organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisations may not have dedicated education staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant provision of opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time required to build relationships (and reputation for your work, e.g. with referral partners / gate keepers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting big institutions to think differently about the opportunities visual art can offer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility to respond to what young people are telling us they want / need – and a <strong>whole organisation response</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Engaging audiences with contemporary art:
What should the future look like and how do we get there?
Attendees were asked to think about an action plan; what the future should look like and how we get there...

- Social media space – shared communication
- **Providing physical space** – commons? How is a shared agreement of the use of the commons aligning with young people’s moods / desires? What are the wider cultural / creative spaces
- **Play, chaos, anarchy** – fundamental right – Article 31...giving people tools
- Artists who want to share and be a part of that – new kinds of cultural creative spaces
- Creative Life
- Unprofessionalise the structure
- Sharing the variety of practices
- Visibility of making
- **Proximity**
- Challenge – being driven by outcomes – how do we challenge organisational fear about scrutiny – paralysis
- Rethink grass roots spaces for young people to work in – proximity to artists – No dead artists
- What does that mean for proximity to arts institutions
- Provide safe empty space for young people to produce – what does that look like?
- How do we share the social fabric of our lives – social media / contact...irreverence
- Increase agility by reducing and passing risk / responsibility
- **Youth work structure** – more target driven – breakdown in structure
- How do we do more for less?
- **Partnership** – e.g. arts org can take risks by taking responsibility – artist
- Put flexibility / agility back in

- Build a pathway to a national programme pays for officers who build on existing organisations expertise **NOT** buried in local authorities tapping expertise and resources
- Opportunities to work together
- Opportunities supported, SUSTAINED and resources
- Common purpose
- Integrated within and between organisations
- Bespoke
- 10 years’ time contemporary art should be in and ACROSS curriculum
- An equivalent of Youth Music Initiative for contemporary art
- Move away from individual model of achievement
- A nationally funded model emphasising social and collaborative practice and creating audiences for it
- High level champions - we advocate and influence!
- Infrastructure e.g. contemporary art in the curriculum
- = shift in perceptions of institutions (avoid confirmation bias!)
- But institutions need to respond (e.g. Diarmuid image of young people in gallery)
- More ‘in yer face’ language / jargon = expand the conversation = developing language and brilliant new work
- New kinds of cultural institutions / digital spaces / continuum between marketing and engagement
- Champions deliver!

- Should future look different from present?
- Peer learning, school learning – more studios in schools
- Policies revised – advocates raise awareness of benefits
- Facts and figures – helps reduce mental illness
- More representatives of youth culture
- Recognition of creativity across the board
- Art incorporated into everyday life

How?

- Benefits of art – facts and figures
- Schools – taster sessions of different mediums
- Unlearning
• Bin elitism
• Youth empowerment
• Everyone’s connection to art is different – an individual rather than industrial approach to education
• Geography – the digital landscape
• Cultural entitlement – artists delivering inspiration – leaves something behind – prolonged project

• A level playing field for all participants and artists / programming – diverse workforces in arts and culture
• Inclusive, equality and stigma free! – Avoid ‘problem’ groupings of people
• People doing things on their own terms
• A wide definition of what art can be and what that contribution can be from participants

How?

• Less ‘targeted’ funding – always be thinking about inclusion
• ‘Ideas based’ curriculum for Art Education – pushing that agenda
• Addressing ‘crisis in leadership’ in art education
• Youth services are not always best way of co-production. Some / most do not engage with ‘youth services’
• Continuity of major public art events
• Not reinventing wheel – continuum

• Lack of consensus around contemporary art is its strength
• UN-LEARN
• Audience engagement concern of the whole
• Organise / take action
• Collectively – joint working, commons, co-production
• Move away from ‘the project’
• Commitment to words with action – from top / take risk
• WITH schools, teachers, families from a young age