**Building Services Engineering Research and Technology** 

Building Services Engineering Research and Technology

# Building tight – ventilating right? How are new air tightness standards affecting indoor air quality in dwellings?

Journal:	Building Services Engineering Research and Technology
Manuscript ID:	BSE-C-13-023
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-May-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Howieson, Stirling; University of Strathclyde, Architecture Sharpe, Tim; Glasgow School of Art, Architecture Farren, Paul; University of Strathclyde, Architecture
Keywords:	Indoor Air Quality, Air Tightness, Trickle Ventilation, Health
Abstract:	Building more air-tight dwellings is having a deleterious impact on indoor air quality. In a range of recently completed dwellings CO2 concentrations were measured in occupied bedrooms at unacceptable concentrations (occupied mean peak of 2317ppm and a time weighted average of 1834ppm range 480 – 4800ppm). Such high levels confirm that air tight dwellings with only trickle ventilators as the 'planned' ventilation strategy do not meet the standards demanded by the Building Regulations. Reducing ventilation rates to improve energy efficiency and lower carbon emissions, without providing a planned and effective ventilation strategy is likely to result in a more toxic and hazardous indoor environment, with concurrent and significant negative long term and insidious impacts on public health. Furthermore, the methodology underpinning the current regulations cannot be considered as creditable. Any researchers operating in this field require to recognise that dwellings have internal doors.

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

## Building tight – ventilating right?

## How are new air tightness standards affecting indoor air quality in dwellings?

SG Howieson<sup>(1)</sup> BArch(Hons) DipArch MPhil PhD CEng RIAS FCIBSE FHEA T Sharpe<sup>(2)</sup> BSc BArch (Hons) PhD FHEA

P Farren<sup>(1)</sup> BSc(Hons) PgDip RIAS RIBA

(1) Faculty of Engineering, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK

(2) Mackintosh Environmental Architecture Research Unit, Glasgow School of Art, UK

## 1. Build tight - ventilate right?

**1.1** In 1992 Perera<sup>(1)</sup> put forward the concept 'build tight- ventilate right". This was a proposition that dwellings should be designed and constructed to be as tight as practicable and incorporate a 'planned' ventilation strategy. The paper emphasised that a building cannot be too 'air-tight', but it can be under ventilated. This approach built on a BRE publication<sup>(2)</sup> that claimed there was wide acceptance that a whole house ventilation rate of 0.5ach<sup>-1</sup> - supplemented by mechanical air extraction during cooking and bathing - was sufficient to dilute indoor pollutant concentrations and suppress relative humidity below 70% - a threshold associated with condensation and mould growth. The current recommendations for trickle vent free opening area (12000mm<sup>2</sup> for apartments with a minimum of 11000mm<sup>2</sup> where an average of 11000 mm<sup>2</sup> is provided per room), as recommended in clause 3.14.2 of the Domestic Technical Handbook, Building Standards (Scotland) Regulations<sup>(3)</sup> are derived from a 'Review of Guidance of Energy and Environment<sup>(4)</sup> conducted by Glasgow Caledonian University, that utilised BREVENT<sup>(5)</sup> software to calculate the area of trickle ventilators required under various conditions, to produce acceptable indoor air quality. BREVENT software considers a dwelling to be a single zone.

**1.2** The potential impact on health resulting from increased air tightness, has been highlighted in recent research by Davis and Harvey<sup>(6)</sup> and Crump et al<sup>(7)</sup>, who called for further investigations to ascertain 'healthy' ventilation rates. This was partially addressed in a recent study<sup>(8)</sup> commissioned by the Scottish Government, "The effect that increasing air-tightness may have on air quality within dwellings". In this study air tightness and air change rates were measured in a mid-terrace dwelling (Garston, Watford) under a variety of conditions and the published report concluded that dwellings built to 5m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2/</sup>/hr@50Pa provide air change rates roughly in line with the CIBSE<sup>(9)</sup> recommendation of 8l/s per person.

## 2. BRE test results

**2.1** In a mid-terrace dwelling, with an air tightness reduced to  $6m^{3/}/m^2/hr@50Pa$  with standard trickle vents fitted on all windows, ventilation rates were measured at 0.7 to 1.3ach<sup>-1</sup> on the upper floor (equating to 37-69 l/s), and 0.4 to 0.6 ach<sup>-1</sup> on the ground floor (equating to 21-32 l/s). Measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (released from a mechanical source) did not provide any cause for concern and settled at circa 1000ppm in the living room and 600ppm in bedrooms.

**2.2** The test protocol had, however, several significant confounding variables that ignored 'real life' conditions. The tests were undertaken with all internal doors wedged open, creating one unified internal air mass of  $192m^3$ . Such a test method does not produce a realistic scenario, given that, in practice, occupants will tend to keep internal doors closed for reasons of privacy, noise transmission (particularly in bedrooms), thermal comfort and in flatted accommodation, will be required to do so for fire safety. Furthermore, the release of CO<sub>2</sub> from a central point does not reflect concentrations and intensity of occupation. All trickle vents were open and there

was no occlusion of the vents by blinds or curtains. External wind speeds during the test regime were above average (5m/s) and would create both positive and negative pressures on the elevations, driving cross and displacement air movement in the unified volume. Such a test scenario does not therefore, attempt to replicate actual conditions or examine air quality in discrete room volumes where occupants will spend the majority of their time. The task was therefore to identify a 'tightly' constructed test house where air quality under 'real life' conditions could be measured.

## 3. Method

**3.1** A recently completed 'Passive House' in Pittenweem, Fife (Kingdom Housing Association) was selected and air pressure tests produced a figure of 1.18m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>/hr@50Pa (photos 1 & 2). The 'tightness' of this dwelling was then reverse engineered by fitting tarpaulins to the living and bedroom windows (fixed open) with standard trickle vents incorporated (photos 3& 4).



Photo 1

Photo 2

# Photo 3 & 4

Photo 5

**3.2** The living room and double bedroom were repeatedly pressure tested with the MHVR system outlets/inlets sealed and the system disconnected from the power supply. By progressively increasing the opening area of an additional vent in the tarpaulin, the target air leakage/infiltration rate of 5m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>/hr@50 Pa was achieved. The rooms were then re-occupied

and a Graywolf  $CO_2$  monitor placed at seated head height (photo 5) and programmed to take readings every 60 seconds. The living room was a combined kitchen/diner with a floor area of  $31m^2$  and a volume of  $75m^3$ . The bedroom had a floor area of  $15m^2$  and a total volume of  $44m^3$ . Four data sets were collected over two 24hours occupied periods. The initial set measured  $CO_2$ , temperature and humidity - with the MHRV system disabled - between 1800-2200hrs in the living room and 2300-0700hrs in the master bedroom The second two data sets measured the same parameters with the MHRV system re-activated.

#### 3.3 Results







#### 4. Discussion

**4.1** When the living room door is closed and the room occupied by 2 adults and 3 children,  $CO_2$  levels climbed at a rate of 514ppm/hour, peaking at just over 2600ppm. At this time the children started retiring to bed with  $CO_2$  concentrations falling slightly and then levelling off at circa 2300ppm. Readings in the bedroom started at a higher level, possibly due to residual  $CO_2$  from the afternoons occupancy required to fine tune the air infiltration rate, or  $CO_2$  diffusing from the

living room. Two adults were, however, able to maintain a level of 2200ppm for the 8 hour overnight sleeping period, well above Pettenkopfers<sup>(10)</sup> recognised 1000ppm threshold. It is also important to note that the room volumes in this dwelling are significantly greater than those found in contemporary 'affordable' housing where living room volumes are typically circa  $30m^3$  and bedrooms  $28m^3$  (as per Housing For Varying Needs<sup>(11)</sup> typical layout recommendations assuming 2.4m floor to ceiling height). Under similar occupancy loads concentrations in smaller volumes are thus likely to be much higher (2.5 times in living rooms and 1.57 in bedrooms). With the MHRV system re-activated, CO<sub>2</sub> levels fell within a range of 910 – 1280ppm.

#### 5. Contemporaneous research

**5.1** As part of a major POE study funded by the Technology Strategy Board (TSB), the Mackintosh Environmental Architecture Research Unit is monitoring a range of new-build houses (n=20) in 5 geographical locations including Glasgow, Livingston, Dunoon and Inverness. All dwellings are naturally ventilated and represent a range of construction types. Air tightness of these dwellings was measured in the range of 2.88 - 6.07 with an average of 4.66  $m^3/m^2/hr@50Pa$ . CO<sub>2</sub> levels in living rooms and bedrooms have now been monitored for over 5 months. Bedrooms are of particular interest as they tend to have consistent conditions in terms of occupancy, ventilation regime and occupant interaction, with fewer confounding variables.

# New Build House Monitoring Summary

								Bedroom 1		1
Monitored Site	Building Standards	Air Permeability (m <sup>3</sup> /(hr.m <sup>2</sup> ) @ 50Pa)	Construction Type	Туре	Built Form	Number of Bedrooms	Number of Bedrooms Monitored	Floor Area (m²)	Room Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Trickle vents
27MP	2010	4.25	Timber Frame	House	Mid-terrace	2	1	12.62	31.23	Yes
29MP	2010	2.88	Masonry	Flat	Flat - ground floor	2	1	13.15	31.50	Yes
37MP	2010	4.98	Timber Frame	House	End-terrace	2	1	12.54	30.34	Yes
26BC	2009	3.71	Timber Frame	House	Mid-terrace	3	1	TBA	TBA	Yes
25BC	2009	3.73	Timber Frame	House	End-terrace	3	1	TBA	TBA	Yes
P5 B1	2010	4.04	Closed Panel Timber System	House	Semi-detached	3	2	11.83	30.77	Yes
P5 B2	2010	4.04	Closed Panel Timber System	House	Semi-detached	3	2	14.22	45.50	Yes
P14 B1	2010	4.29	Closed Panel Timber System	House	Semi-detached	3	2	11.83	30.77	Yes
P14 B2	2010	4.29	Closed Panel Timber System	House	Semi-detached	3	2	14.22	45.50	Yes
3BS	2009	3.82	Timber Frame	House	End-terrace	3	2	11.17	28.08	Yes
6BS	2009	5.71	Timber Frame / Masonry thermal mass	Flat	Flat - ground floor	2	1	9.71	23.30	Yes
7BS	2009	4.53	Timber Frame / Masonry thermal mass	Flat	Flat - ground floor	2	1	9.11	21.86	Yes
4BG	2009	5.82	Timber Frame	House	Semi-detached	3	2	10.63	28.70	Yes
5BG	2009	6.07	Timber Frame	House	Semi-detached	3	2	10.63	28.70	Yes
9BS	2009	5.93	Timber Cassette (Pre-fabricated)	Flat	Flat - ground floor	1	1	11.80	28.32	Yes
MF22	2010	TBA	Timber Frame	Flat	Flat - ground floor	2	1	10.85	26.04	Yes
SF02	2010	TBA	Timber Frame	Flat	Flat - ground floor	1	1	9.00	21.60	Yes
SF17	2010	TBA	Timber Frame	Flat	Flat - mid floor	1	1	11.26	27.00	Yes
SF32	2010	ТВА	Timber Frame	Flat	Flat - top floor	1	1	9.00	21.60	Yes
MF03	2010	ТВА	Timber Frame	Flat	Flat - ground floor	2	1	14.64	35.14	Yes

The following graphs show CO<sub>2</sub> profiles in bedrooms for a randomly identified winter week.

#### Case Study 1 Glasgow



Case Study 2 Livingston









Case study 4 Inverness



Case Study 2 – Summer Pattern



## 5.2 Seasonality

This data is taken from a week in March and shows a consistent pattern for  $CO_2$  with levels, in the majority of bedrooms, rising well above 1000ppm during occupied hours. Of particular note are the observations during summer in case study 2 - that has now been monitored for 10 months – where the same pattern exists with little seasonal change, suggesting that bedroom ventilation regimes are habitual rather than adaptive.

#### 5.3 Discussion of case studies

Longitudinal observation of  $CO_2$  levels has indicated that these are reaching consistently high levels in bedrooms at night. In some cases IAQ is less problematic due either to reduced occupancy or more frequent window opening habits. Where windows were kept closed (n=12)  $CO_2$  levels were noticeably higher, with an occupied mean peak of 2317ppm and an occupied time weighted average of 1834ppm measured between 11pm and 7am (range 480 – 4800ppm). All bedrooms are characterised by a rapid increase in  $CO_2$  on first occupancy then a levelling out, presumably due to the background ventilation characteristics. Window opening in monitored rooms was recorded using contact sensors. As yet there is limited information available on occupant behaviour such as internal door opening and use or occlusion of controllable trickle vents. Closing bedroom doors is an entirely rational and predictable behaviour, and where this occurs, trickle vents on one window elevation are opening into what is effectively, a 'dead-end'.

#### 6. Health impacts

**6.1** In 1991 the House of Commons Select Committee<sup>(12)</sup> established to investigate indoor air pollution, concluded;

"Overall there appears to be a worryingly large number of health problems connected with indoor pollution which affect a large number of people".

Carbon dioxide is normally found in 'bad company'. At the outset of the 20th century there were approximately 50 materials used to construct buildings. By the end of the century Raw<sup>(13)</sup> claimed that this list had grown to around 55000, with half of them being synthetic. Compounds found in indoor air may have off-gassed from the building materials, furnishings and fittings,

internal processes, cleaning products, with somewhat ironically even air fresheners, are implicated in indoor air quality toxicity. The most common gases found in the indoor environment are carbon dioxide/monoxide, nitrogen and sulphur dioxide, volatile organic compounds, radon, formaldehyde and ozone. The most common suspended particulate matter are asbestos fibres, fibrous particulates (fibreglass or rockwool), bacteria and fungi, tobacco smoke, HDM allergens, pollen and dust<sup>(14)(15)</sup>. Changes in lifestyle such as indoor clothes drying, are in part driven by changes in design and high levels of indoor humidity can have a major impact in terms of stimulating the growth of bacteria, mould fungal spores and HDM allergen generation<sup>(16)</sup>.

#### 6.2 Asthma

80% of children with asthma are skin prick sensitive to house dust mite (HDM) allergens<sup>(17)</sup>. Low ventilation rates produce high RH. HDMs thrive in high humidity<sup>(18)</sup> and their allergens cause asthma<sup>(19)</sup>. Asthma prevalence in Britain has risen six fold in 30 years<sup>(20)</sup>. There is now a compelling body of evidence that underpins the hypothesis that our dwellings as the single most important independent variable driving the current asthma pandemic. Maintaining internal RH below 60% will inhibit HDM colonisation and proliferation. Two recent studies have shown that it is possible to achieve this by retro-fitting intelligent ventilation strategies<sup>(21)(22)</sup>.

#### 6.3 Performance gap

Research has shown that there is a substantial performance gap emerging between the design intentions and measured performance of both new and refurbished buildings in the UK, with some sectors producing more than twice their predicted carbon emissions<sup>(23)</sup>. In the domestic environment, energy and water use can vary by a factor of  $3-14^{(24)(25)(26)}$ . The above case studies support similar outcomes when applied to ventilation rates.

#### 7.Conclusions

**7.1** The observed data from 'real life' conditions, where dwellings have been built to the prescribed standards for air tightness  $(5m^3/m^2/hr@50Pa)$  with trickle ventilation as the sole 'planned' ventilation strategy, produced CO<sub>2</sub> levels indicative of poor indoor air quality. When considered as a discrete volume, an occupied apartment will require a substantially greater ventilation rate than can be provided solely by trickle ventilators with a free vent area of  $11000mm^2$ . In most dwellings air infiltration through these vents will be occluded by curtains or blinds and in many cases where vents incorporate controllable flaps, they will remain habitually closed. Whilst it may be argued that elements such as occupants closing vents or occlusion by curtains, is out with the remit of statutory regulations, these are nevertheless predictable behaviours which should be taken into account, in the same way that 'safety factors' are applied in structural regulations to account for accidental overloading.

**7.2** In the case studies, 60% of households did not open windows during the heating season. Were this to be consistent across the UK population as a whole, the majority of households residing in non-mechanically ventilated homes, are relying solely on trickle ventilation and fabric air infiltration as the effective 'fail safe' ventilation regime. Such trickle ventilation has additional difficulties relating to user interaction. Where vents are adjustable they may remain closed year round, for a variety of reasons (draughts, noise or inaccessibility). Where non-adjustable vents are provided, it is common to find that they have been blocked in order to prevent heat loss or reduce the impact of external noise pollution, particularly where dwellings are situated close to traffic. Furthermore, occupants cannot interact with such controls when they are asleep. When considered as a discrete volume, an living room with five occupants will require close to 40l/s to enter through an area of 12000mm<sup>2</sup>. This in turn will require an air speed of 3.3m/s, equivalent

to a pressure differential of close to 18Pa. Where rooms have a window vent in only one elevation, cross ventilation will not occur. Without a potential exhaust, it is difficult to conceive how an opening area of 12000mm<sup>2</sup> could provide anything close to 'healthy' ventilation rates.

**7.3** It appears from these case studies, that dwellings built to the new prescribed air tightness standard - that rely solely on trickle ventilators for background ventilation - do not appear to satisfy the requirement of Technical Standard 3.14 that states:

Ventilation should have the capacity to:

- provide outside air to maintain indoor air quality sufficient for human respiration;
- remove excess water vapour from areas where it is produced in sufficient quantities in order to reduce the likelihood of creating conditions that support the germination and growth of mould, harmful bacteria, pathogens and allergies;
- remove pollutants that are a hazard to health from areas where they are produced in significant quantities;

**7.4** Reducing ventilation rates to improve energy efficiency and lower carbon emissions, without providing a planned and effective ventilation strategy is likely to result in a more toxic and hazardous indoor environment, with concurrent and significant negative long term and insidious impacts on public health.

## 8. Recommendations

**8.1** Technical Standard 3.14 (Scottish Regs.) should be rigorously enforced with designers and house builders required to demonstrate a planned ventilation strategy that maintains 'healthy'

IAQ (below 1000ppm) in all occupied rooms.

**8.2** To assist with this, the recommendations of the Sullivan Report<sup>(27)</sup> for post occupant evaluation should be implemented. Further building performance evaluation (BPE) of completed buildings is required to establish a clearer picture of actual performance and to provide an epidemiological evidence base for changes in legislation and ventilation design for occupant health.

**8.3** Reliance on trickle ventilators to provide background ventilation in airtight buildings should be reconsidered, with a greater emphasis placed on the planning and prediction of overall house ventilation strategies, taking into account, either solely or in combination: cross, stack, permanent, displacement and mechanical ventilation.

**8.4** The Building Regulations and consequent design, require to be based on a more robust house model that views occupied rooms as discrete volumes. This will allow for a better understanding of the actual free vent area and distribution required, where a room has no facility for cross ventilation. It should also account for room volume, ergonomic occupant control and external influences on operation.

**8.5** Legislation is required to specify minimum 'safe' levels for indoor air pollutants in the domestic environment. Many countries are developing such standards and several have now brought forward legislation. Although any study that attempts to incorporate the synergistic, additive or antagonistic chemical and biological reactions that may occur in the indoor environment would have to address an unmanageable number of variables, such complexity should not provide an excuse for doing nothing in terms of research, guidance and the

 development of legally binding standards in respect to individual compounds. These 'proxy' compounds can be used indicatively as measures of IAQ and should be included in performance standards and monitored, post completion.

**8.6** Monitoring or control of actual performance for immediate feedback to occupants or mechanical systems, could be achieved by using  $CO_2$  or humidity sensors. This could include a  $CO_2$  monitor installed in the living room (possibly on a standard traffic light warning system) to alert occupants when  $CO_2$  levels exceed 1000ppm (amber) and 2000ppm (red). Such an alert may stimulate occupants to open a window for even a short period of time and allow  $CO_2$  levels to partially equalise with ambient air.

**8.7** Further work is needed to establish more precise relationships between room volume, likely levels of occupancy and ventilation requirements. Although appearing intuitive, assumptions that a smaller openable area is suitable for smaller rooms, is not actually the case. An occupied small volume will more rapidly reach unacceptable levels of carbon dioxide and therefore will require a larger vent.

## 9. Summary

The above data sets confirm that small, 'tight' modern dwellings are highly likely to present with exceptionally poor IAQ that will, in turn, have an increasingly negative impact on occupant health. At present IAQ is being prejudiced by the drive to reduce carbon emissions. Although a worthy aim, public health should not be compromised in the name of 'energy efficiency'. Making dwellings tighter without insisting on a robust 'planned' ventilation strategy will produce further deleterious effects. The methodology underpinning the current regulations cannot be considered as creditable. Any researchers operating in this field require, to recognise, that

dwellings have internal doors.

#### References

1.	Perera, E. & Parkins, L. "Build tight - ventilate right", Building Services, Chartered
	Institution of Building Services Engineers, London, June 1992, pp 37-38.

- 2. Building Research Establishment. "Digest 297: Surface condensation and mould growth in traditionally built dwellings". BRE Press, Garston, 1985
- 3. Building Standard (Scotland) Regulations. "Domestic Technical Handbook". Scottish Government, 2010
- 4. Sanders, C.H. "Review of Guidance of Energy and Environment". Glasgow Caledonian University, 2005
- 5. Building Research Establishment. "BREVENT : Software". BRE Press, 1992
- 6. Davis I, Harvey V. "Zero Carbon: what does it mean to homeowners and house builders?" NHBC Foundation report, NF9, 2008
- Crump D, Dengel A, Swainson M. "Indoor Air Quality in Highly Energy Efficient Homes a Review". IHS BRE Press, Watford, England, 2009
- 8. Building Research Establishment. "The effect that increasing air-tightness may have on air quality within dwellings". Scottish Government, Building Standards Division, Livingston, April 2012
- 9. CIBSE. "Heating, Ventilating, Air Conditioning and Refrigeration". CIBSE Guide B, 2005
- 10. Locher, W. G. 'Max von Pettenkofer (1818-1901) as a Pioneer of Modern Hygiene and Preventive Medicine', Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine, 12(Nov): 238-245 (2007)
- Pickles, J. "Housing for varying needs: a design guide. Part 1, Houses and flats". Edinburgh, Stationary Office, 1998
- 12. House of Commons Select Committee, Indoor Pollution, Sixth Report, HMSO, London, 1991
- Raw GJ. "Sick Building Syndrome: A review of the evidence on causes and solutions. HSE contract research report no.42". Building Research Establishment, Garston, Watford, 1992

1	
2	
3	
4 5	
6	
7	
8 0	
9 10	
11	
12	
13	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25 26	
20 27	
28	
29	
30 31	
32	
33	
34 35	
36	
37	
38 30	
40	
41	
42	
43 44	
45	
46	
47 48	
49	
50	
51 52	
53	
54	
55 56	
50 57	
58	
59	

11 Howieson S "Housing &	Asthma" London (	Shan Brass 2005
	ASUIIIIA . LUIIUUII, J	Spon Fress, 2000

- 15. Carrer P, Fanetti AC, Forastiere F, Holcatova I, Mølhave L, Sundell J, Viegi G, Simoni M. "WP1 Final Report Health Effects". EnVIE Co-ordination Action On Indoor Air Quality and Health Effects, Dec 2008
- Porteous C D A, Sharpe T R, Menon R A, Shearer D, Musa H, Baker P H, Sanders C H, Strachan P A, Kelly N J, Markopoulos A. "Energy and environmental appraisal of domestic laundering appliances". Building Research & Information, 2012, 40(6):1-21
- 17. Morrison-Smith J, Disney ME, Williams JD, Goels ZA. Clinical significance of skin reactions to mite extracts in children with asthma, BMJ 1969: Vol 2, pp723-726.
- 18. Colloff M, Ayres J, Carwell F, Howarth P, Merrett TG, Mitchell EB, Walshaw M, Warner JO, Warner JO, Woodcock AA. The control of allergens of house dust mites and domestic pets: a position paper. Clinical Experimental Allergy, 1992, Vol: 22 (suppl 2), pp1-28
- 19. Platts-Mills TAE, de Weck AL. Dust mite allergens and asthma a worldwide problem. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 1989, Vol 83, pp 416-427.
- 20. ISAAC Steering Committee, The Lancet, Vol 351, 1998.
- 21. Domestic ventilation rates, indoor humidity and dust mite allergens Are our homes causing the asthma pandemic? BSER&T Building Services Engineering Research and Technology, Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers, Volume 23, Issue 3, September 2003 pp137-147
- 22. Wright G, Howieson S, McSharry C, McMahon A, Chaudhuri R, Thompson J, Fraser I, Brooks R, Lawson A, Jolly L, McAlpine L, King E, Chapman M, Wood S, Thomson N. Effect of improved home ventilation on asthma control and house dust mite allergen levels, Allergy, 29th March, 2009
- 23. Pegg I, "Assessing the role of post- occupancy evaluation in the design environment a case study approach". EngD, Brunel University, 2007
- Gill Z M, Tierney M I, Pegg I M, Allan N. "Measured Energy and Water Performance of an Aspiring Low Energy/Carbon Affordable Housing Site in the UK". Energy and Buildings, 2011, 43(1): 117–125);

- 25. Gram-Hanssen K. "Residential Heat Comfort Practices: Understanding Users". Building Research and Information, 2010, 38(2):175–186);
  - Pilkington B, Roach R, Perkins J. "Relative Benefits of Technology and Occupant Behaviour in Moving Towards a More Energy Efficient, Sustainable Housing Paradigm". Energy Policy, 2011, 39(9): 4962–4970).
  - 27. Sullivan, L. "A Low Carbon Building Standards Strategy for Scotland". Arcmedia, Scotland, 2007

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of Kingdom Housing Association, Heat Recovery Scotland and ASSIST Design, Glasgow.

Responsible author:	Stirling Howieson
Email:	<u>s.howieson@strath.ac.uk</u>
Address:	University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G4 0NG
Telephone:	0141 548 4282
Fax:	0141 552 4400